IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Family Court Appeal No.88 of 2002

Shri Anil Balvant Umaria ..Appellant

vs.

Sou.Bharati Anil Umaria ..Respondent

Shri A.V.Anturkar for appellant.
Shri Ketan Chotani i/b Shri Sanjay P.Patankar for respondent.

CORAM: S.B.MHASE AND
S.R.SATHE JJ.
13th September, 2006

P.C.

1. Heard the learned Counsel.

2. This matter was argued for a pretty long time. However, while the matter was being heard the parties were also trying to explore the possibility of settlement. Now, the parties have arrived at the settlement and consent terms have been submitted before this Court. The Advocates for both the parties are present. Appellant and respondent both respectively are present. We have ascertained from the parties whether they have understood the consent terms and the parties state that they have voluntarily entered into the consent terms. Therefore, we find this compromise being entered into voluntarily without being influenced and/or pressurised by anyone. The consent terms are tendered, taken on record and marked

"X" for identification. The consent terms and undertakings contained in the consent terms are hereby accepted. In view of the consent terms the decree passed by the Trial Court is set aside and instead the decree is substituted in terms of the consent terms at X. The Family Court Appeal is thus disposed of in terms of the decree as provided in consent terms at X. Office is directed to prepare the decree accordingly.

(S.R.SATHE J.) **(S.B.MHASE J.)**